

BASE POINT FREE THEOREMS —SATURATION, B-DIVISORS, AND CANONICAL BUNDLE FORMULA—

OSAMU FUJINO

ABSTRACT. We reformulate the Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem by using the notion of b -divisors and saturation of linear systems, which was introduced by Shokurov. Combining the refined Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem with Ambro's canonical bundle formula, we quickly reprove the main theorem of Kawamata's paper: Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties, without using the notion of generalized normal crossing varieties. We also treat some variants of base point free theorems mainly due to Fukuda, and generalize base point free theorems for quasi klt pairs.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Base point free theorem revisited	3
3. B -divisors	5
4. Base point free theorem; nef and abundant case	11
5. Base point free theorem of Reid-Fukuda type	17
6. Variants of base point free theorems due to Fukuda	19
7. Base point free theorems for quasi klt pairs	23
8. Appendix: Quick review of Ambro's formula	26
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we reformulate the Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem (cf. [KMM, Theorem 3-1-11]) by using Shokurov's new ideas: *b-divisors*, *saturation of linear systems*. Combining the refined Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem with Ambro's canonical

Date: 2007/1/16.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14C20.

bundle formula, we can quickly reprove the main theorem of [K1]: Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties, without using the notion of *generalized normal crossing varieties*. See Theorem 4.2, Proposition 4.3, and their proofs. In spite of its importance, the proof of Kawamata's theorem [K1, Theorem 6.1] has not been treated in the standard literatures. We think it is because the strategy is the same as the proof of the original Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem. It is sometimes called X-method. However, the proof of the non-vanishing theorem for generalized normal crossing varieties [K1, Theorem 5.1] needs much more technical devices. Another purpose of this paper is to show how to use Shokurov's new ideas: *b-divisors*, *saturation of linear systems*, various kinds of *adjunction*, and so on, by giving a new simple proof to the classical result. Shokurov's paper [S] is a reservoir of new ideas and techniques. Finally, we think that we can generalize some results in this paper by using the results and arguments in [A1], where Ambro treats varieties with any singularities. However, we decide not to touch singularities which are worse than (sub) lc singularities to keep this paper readable. Basically, we only treat (sub) klt pairs. By this decision, we do not have to discuss Hodge structures for reducible varieties. In the introduction of [A5], Ambro himself wrote that some results in [A1] seem to be obscured by the new terminology of *quasi-log varieties*. Without using Hodge structures for reducible varieties, we can prove base point free theorems for *quasi klt pairs*. A quasi klt pair is a very special example of quasi-log varieties. So, we will discuss them in this paper. We recommend this paper as Chapter 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ of the book: Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds.

Remark 1.1 (Background). A key result we need from Ambro's papers is [A2, Theorem 0.2], which is a generalization of [F3, §4. Pull-back of $L_{X/Y}^{ss}$]. It originates from *Kawamata's positivity theorem* in [K2] and Shokurov's idea on *adjunction*. For the details, see [A2, §0. Introduction]. We only owe the formulation of the Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem to [A3] (see Theorem 2.1). On [A4], we just use Lemma 9.2.2, which is an easy but important calculation. The formulation and calculation we borrow from [A3] and [A4] grew out from Shokurov's *saturation of linear systems*.

We summarize the contents of this paper. In Section 2, we reformulate Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem for sub klt pairs with the saturation condition. To state our theorem, we use the notion of b-divisors. It is very useful to discuss linear systems with some base conditions. In Section 3, we collect basic properties of b-divisors and prove some elementary properties. Section 4 is the main part of this

paper. Roughly speaking, we will prove the base point free theorem for a nef Cartier divisor D on a klt pair (X, B) under the assumption that $aD - (K_X + B)$ is nef and abundant for any $a \geq 1$, that is, the main theorem of [K1]. We will also prove its slight generalization there simultaneously. We need this generalization in Section 7. The main ingredient of our proof is Ambro's formulation of Kodaira's canonical bundle formula. By this formula and the refined Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem obtained in Section 2, we can quickly prove Kawamata's theorem in [K1] without appealing the notion of generalized normal crossing varieties. In Section 5, we treat the base point free theorem of Reid-Fukuda type. In this case, the saturation condition behaves very well for inductive arguments. So, we contain this to help us understand the saturation conditions of linear systems. In Section 6, we prove some variants of base point free theorems. They are mainly due to Fukuda [Fk2]. We reformulate them by using b-divisors and saturation conditions. Then we use Ambro's formula to reduce them to the easier case instead of proving them directly by X-method. This route is the same as in Section 4. In Section 7, we generalize the Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem and Kawamata's main theorem in [K1] for *quasi klt pairs*. Theorem 7.10, which is new, is the main theorem of this section. Final section: Section 8 is a short survey on Ambro's formula.

Acknowledgments. I was partially supported by The Sumitomo Foundation and by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (A) #17684001 from JSPS.

Notation. We work with $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors $B = \sum b_i B_i$ on a normal variety X . We denote by

$$\lceil B \rceil = \sum \lceil b_i \rceil B_i, \quad \lfloor B \rfloor = \sum \lfloor b_i \rfloor B_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \{B\} = B - \lfloor B \rfloor$$

the *round-up*, the *round-down*, and the *fractional part* of B . We make one general remark here. Since the freeness (or semi-ampleness) of a Cartier divisor D on a variety X depends only on the linear equivalence class of D , we can freely replace D by a linearly equivalent divisor to prove the freeness (or semi-ampleness) of D .

We will work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero throughout this paper.

2. BASE POINT FREE THEOREM REVISITED

The following theorem is a special case of [A3, Theorem 2.1], where he gives a criterion for an $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor to be rational and semi-ample.

In this paper, we only treat $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 is essentially the same as the original Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem. Kawamata and Shokurov claimed the following theorem for klt pairs, that is, they assumed that B is effective. In that case, the condition (2) is trivially satisfied. We think that our formulation is useful for some applications. See the proof of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, which is the main theme of this paper. If the readers are not familiar with the notion of b-divisors, we recommend them to see Section 3 before the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.1 (Base Point Free Theorem). *Let (X, B) be a sub klt pair, let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper surjective morphism onto a variety S and D a π -nef Cartier divisor on X . Assume the following conditions:*

- (1) $rD - (K_X + B)$ is nef and big over S for some positive integer r , and
- (2) (Saturation Condition) *there exists a positive integer j_0 such that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D}) \subseteq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(jD)$ for any integer $j \geq j_0$.*

Then mD is π -generated for $m \gg 0$, that is, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for any $m \geq m_0$ the natural homomorphism $\pi^\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is surjective.*

Proof. The usual proof of the base point free theorem, that is, X-method, works without any changes if we note Lemma 3.14. For the details, see, for example, [KMM, §3-1]. See also remarks in 3.18. $\square$

The assumptions in Theorem 2.1 are birational in nature. This point is indispensable in Section 4. We note that we can assume that X is non-singular and $\text{Supp} B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor because the conditions (1) and (2) are invariant for birational pull-backs. So, it is not difficult to see that Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a non-singular variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $\lfloor B \rfloor \leq 0$ and $\text{Supp} B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism onto a variety S and D a π -nef Cartier divisor on X . Assume the following conditions:*

- (1) $rD - (K_X + B)$ is nef and big over S for some positive integer r , and
- (2) (Saturation Condition) *there exists a positive integer j_0 such that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil -B \rceil + jD) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(jD)$ for any integer $j \geq j_0$.*

Then mD is π -generated for $m \gg 0$, that is, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for any $m \geq m_0$ the natural homomorphism $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is surjective.

The following example says that the original Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem does not necessarily hold for *sub* klt pairs.

Example 2.3. Let $X = E$ be an elliptic curve. We take a Cartier divisor H such that $\deg H = 0$ and $lH \not\sim 0$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, H is nef. We put $B = -P$, where P is a closed point of X . Then (X, B) is sub klt and $H - (K_X + B)$ is ample. However, H is not semi-ample. In this case, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{H})) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(P + jH)) \simeq k$ for any j . However, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(jH)) = 0$ for all j . Therefore, the saturation condition in Theorem 2.1 does not hold.

We note that Kollár's effective base point freeness holds under the same assumption as in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.4 (Effective Freeness). *We use the same notation and assumption as in Theorem 2.1. Then there exists a positive integer l , which depends only on $\dim X$ and $\max\{r, j_0\}$, such that lD is π -generated, that is, $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(lD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(lD)$ is surjective.*

Sketch of the proof. We need no new ideas. So, we just explain how to modify the arguments in [Ko1, Section 2]. From now on, we use the notation in [Ko1]. In [Ko1], (X, Δ) is assumed to be klt, that is, (X, Δ) is sub klt and Δ is effective. The effectivity of Δ implies that H' is f -exceptional in [Ko1, (2.1.4.3)]. We need this to prove $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(f^*N + H')) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(N))$ in [Ko1, (2.1.6)]. It is not difficult to see that $0 \leq H' \leq \lceil \mathbf{A}(X, \Delta)_Y \rceil$ in our notation. Therefore, it is sufficient to assume the saturation condition (the assumption (2) in Theorem 2.1) in the proof of Kollár's effective freeness (see Section 2 in [Ko1]). We make one more remark. Applying the argument in the first part of 2.4 in [Ko1] to $\mathcal{O}_X(j\overline{D} + \lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil)$ on the generic fiber of $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ with the saturation condition (2) in Theorem 2.1, we obtain a positive integer l_0 that depends only on $\dim X$ and $\max\{r, j_0\}$ such that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(l_0D) \neq 0$. As explained above, the arguments in Section 2 in [Ko1] work with only minor modifications in our setting. We leave the details for the readers' exercise. $\square$

3. B-DIVISORS

3.1 (Singularities of Pairs). Let us recall the notion of singularities of pairs. We recommend the readers to see [F5] for more advanced topics on singularities of pairs.

Definition 3.2 (Singularities of Pairs). Let X be a normal variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities such that $\text{Exc}(f) \cup f_*^{-1}B$ has a simple normal crossing support, where $\text{Exc}(f)$ is the exceptional locus of f . We write

$$K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_i A_i.$$

Then the pair (X, B) is *sub klt* (resp. *sub lc*) if $a_i > -1$ (resp. $a_i \geq -1$) for any i . The pair (X, B) is *klt* (resp. *lc*) if (X, B) is sub klt (resp. sub lc) and B is effective. In recent literatures, sub klt (resp. sub lc) is sometimes called klt (resp. lc). We recommend the readers to check the definitions of singularities of pairs when they read recent papers.

Remark 3.3. Let (X, B) be a klt (resp. lc) pair and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a proper birational morphism of normal varieties. We put $K_Y + B_Y = f^*(K_X + B)$. Then (Y, B_Y) is not necessarily klt (resp. lc) but sub klt (resp. sub lc).

We will use divisorial log terminal pairs only in Section 5.

Definition 3.4 (Divisorial Log Terminal). Let (X, B) be an lc pair. If there exists a resolution $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Exc}(f)$ and $\text{Exc}(f) \cup f_*^{-1}B$ are simple normal crossing divisors on Y and

$$K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_i A_i$$

with $a_i > -1$ for all f -exceptional A_i 's, then (X, B) is called *dlt*.

I forgot to include the following well-known theorem in [F5].

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, D) be a dlt pair. Then X has only rational singularities.*

Proof. (cf. [N2, Chapter VII, 1.1.Theorem]). By the definition of dlt, we can take a resolution $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Exc}(f)$ and $\text{Exc}(f) \cup \text{Supp} f_*^{-1}D$ are both simple normal crossing divisors on Y and that $K_Y + f_*^{-1}D = f^*(K_X + D) + E$ with $\lceil E \rceil \geq 0$. We can take an effective f -exceptional divisor A on Y such $-A$ is f -ample (see, for example, [F5, Proposition 3.7.7]). Then $\lceil E \rceil - (K_Y + f_*^{-1}D + \{-E\} + \varepsilon A) = -f^*(K_X + D) - \varepsilon A$ is f -ample for $\varepsilon > 0$. If $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, then $(Y, f_*^{-1}D + \{-E\} + \varepsilon A)$ is dlt. Therefore, $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil E \rceil) = 0$ for $i > 0$ (see [KMM, Theorem 1-2-5] or Lemma 5.3) and $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil E \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$. Note that $\lceil E \rceil$ is effective and f -exceptional. Thus, the composition $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Rf_* \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow Rf_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil E \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ is a quasi-isomorphism. So, X has only rational singularities by [Kv, Theorem 1]. $\square$

Remark 3.6. The above proof works for *weak log-terminal pairs* (see [KMM, Definition 0-2-10]). We state the theorem only for dlt pairs since we did not introduce the notion of weak log-terminal pairs. We think the proof of Kovács' characterization of rational singularities [Kv, Theorem 1] is much simpler than the other known proofs.

Let us recall the definition of *log canonical centers*.

Definition 3.7 (Log Canonical Center). Let (X, B) be a sub lc pair. A subvariety $W \subset X$ is called a *log canonical center* or an *lc center* of (X, B) if there is a resolution $f : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Exc}(f) \cup \text{Supp} f_*^{-1}B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y and a divisor E with discrepancy -1 such that $f(E) = W$. A log canonical center $W \subset X$ of (X, B) is called *exceptional* if there is a unique divisor E_W on Y with discrepancy -1 such that $f(E_W) = W$ and $f(E) \cap W = \emptyset$ for every other divisor $E \neq E_W$ on Y with discrepancy -1 .

3.8 (b-divisors). In this paper, we adopt the notion of *b-divisors*, which was introduced by Shokurov. For the details of b-divisors, we recommend the readers to see [A3, 1-B] and [C, 2.3.2]. The readers can find various examples of b-divisors in [I].

Definition 3.9 (b-divisor). Let X be a normal variety and $\text{Div}(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by Weil divisors on X . A *b-divisor* on X is an element:

$$\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{Div}(X) = \text{projlim}_{Y \rightarrow X} \text{Div}(Y),$$

where the projective limit is taken over all proper birational morphisms $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of normal varieties, under the push forward homomorphism $f_* : \text{Div}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$. A $\mathbb{Q}$ -*b-divisor* on X is an element of $\mathbf{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = \mathbf{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 3.10 (Discrepancy $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor). Let X be a normal variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then the *discrepancy $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor* of the pair (X, B) is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(X, B)$ with the trace $\mathbf{A}_Y$ defined by the formula:

$$K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \mathbf{A}_Y,$$

where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper birational morphism of normal varieties.

Definition 3.11 (Cartier closure). Let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on a normal variety X . Then the $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\overline{D}$ denotes the *Cartier closure* of D , whose trace on Y is $\overline{D}_Y = f^*D$, where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper birational morphism of normal varieties.

Definition 3.12. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor on X . The round up $\lceil \mathbf{D} \rceil \in \text{Div}(X)$ is defined componentwise. The restriction of $\mathbf{D}$ to an open subset $U \subset X$ is a well-defined $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor on U , denoted by $\mathbf{D}|_U$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{D})$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module whose sections on an open subset $U \subset X$ are given by

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{D})) = \{a \in k(X)^\times; (\overline{a} + \mathbf{D})|_U \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

where $k(X)$ is the function field of X . Note that $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{D})$ is not necessarily coherent.

3.13 (Basic Properties). We recall the first basic property of discrepancy $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisors. We will treat a generalization of Lemma 3.14 for sub lc pairs below.

Lemma 3.14. *Let (X, B) be a sub klt pair and D a Cartier divisor on X . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper surjective morphism from a non-singular variety Y . We write $K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_i A_i$. We assume that the support $A = \cup A_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D}) = f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\sum \lceil a_i \rceil A_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(jD)$ for any integer j .*

Let E be an effective divisor on Y such that $E \leq \sum \lceil a_i \rceil A_i$. Then $\pi_ f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(E + f^*jD) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD)$ if $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D}) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD)$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is a proper surjective morphism onto a variety S .*

Proof. For the first equality, see [C, Lemmas 2.3.14 and 2.3.15] or their generalizations: Lemmas 3.25 and 3.26 below. Since E is effective, $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD) \subseteq \pi_* f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(E + f^*jD) \simeq \pi_*(f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(jD))$. By the assumption and $E \leq \sum \lceil a_i \rceil A_i$, $\pi_*(f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(jD)) \subseteq \pi_*(f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum \lceil a_i \rceil A_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(jD)) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D}) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD)$. Therefore, we obtain $\pi_* f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(E + f^*jD) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD)$. $\square$

We will use the first part of Lemma 3.15 in Section 4. The vanishing theorem in Lemma 3.15, which we do not need in this paper, is nothing but the Kawamata-Viehweg-Nadel vanishing theorem.

Lemma 3.15. *Let X be a normal variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism from a normal variety Y . We put $K_Y + B_Y = f^*(K_X + B)$. Then $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil)$ and $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Proof. Let $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a log resolution of (Y, B_Y) . We put $K_Z + B_Z = g^*(K_Y + B_Y)$. Then $K_Z + B_Z = h^*(K_X + B)$, where $h = f \circ g : Z \rightarrow X$. By Lemma 3.14, $\mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = g_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -B_Z \rceil)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil) = h_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -B_Z \rceil)$. Therefore, $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil)$. Since, $-B_Z = K_Z - h^*(K_X + B)$, we have $\lceil -B_Z \rceil =$

$K_Z + \{B_Z\} - h^*(K_X + B)$. Therefore, $R^i g_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -B_Z \rceil) = 0$ and $R^i h_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil -B_Z \rceil) = 0$ for any $i > 0$ by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. Thus, $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = 0$ for $i > 0$ by Leray's spectral sequence. $\square$

Remark 3.16. We use the same notation as in Remark 3.3. Let (X, B) be a klt pair. Let D be a Cartier divisor on X and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ a proper morphism onto a variety S . We put $p = \pi \circ f : Y \rightarrow S$. Then $p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(jf^*D) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD) \simeq p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil + j\overline{f^*D})$ for any integer j . It is because $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ by Lemma 3.15.

We make a brief comment on the *multiplier ideal sheaf*.

Remark 3.17 (Multiplier ideal sheaf). Let D be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on a non-singular variety X . Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, D) \rceil)$ is nothing but the *multiplier ideal sheaf* $\mathcal{J}(X, D) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ of D on X . See [L, Definition 9.2.1]. More generally, let X be a normal variety and Δ a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X . Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, \Delta + D) \rceil) = \mathcal{J}((X, \Delta); D)$, where the right hand side is the *multiplier ideal sheaf* defined (but not investigated) in [L, Definition 9.3.56]. In general, $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, \Delta + D) \rceil)$ is a fractional ideal of $k(X)$.

3.18 (Remarks on Theorem 2.1). The following four remarks will help us understand Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.19 (Non-vanishing theorem). By Shokurov's non-vanishing theorem (see [KMM, Theorem 2-1-1]), we have that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D}) \neq 0$ for $j \gg 0$. Thus we have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD) \neq 0$ for $j \gg 0$ by the condition (2) in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.20. We know that $\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil \geq 0$ since (X, B) is sub klt. Therefore, $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D})$. This implies that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D})$ for $j \geq j_0$ by the condition (2) in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.21. If the pair (X, B) is klt, then $\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil$ is effective and exceptional over X . In this case, it is obvious that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{D})$.

Remark 3.22. The condition (2) in Theorem 2.1 is a very elementary case of *saturation of linear systems*. See [C, 2.3.3] and [A3, 1-D].

3.23. We introduce the notion of *non-klt $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor*, which is trivial for sub klt pairs. We will use this in Section 5.

Definition 3.24 (Non-klt $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor). Let X be a normal variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Then the *non-klt $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor* of the pair (X, B) is the $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}(X, B)$ with the trace $\mathbf{N}_Y = \sum_{a_i \leq -1} a_i A_i$ for

$$K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_i A_i,$$

where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper birational morphism of normal varieties. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{N}(X, B)$ is a well-defined $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor. We put $\mathbf{A}^*(X, B) = \mathbf{A}(X, B) - \mathbf{N}(X, B)$. Of course, $\mathbf{A}^*(X, B)$ is a well-defined $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor and $\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil \geq 0$. If (X, B) is sub klt, then $\mathbf{N}(X, B) = 0$ and $\mathbf{A}(X, B) = \mathbf{A}^*(X, B)$.

The next lemma is a generalization of Lemma 3.14.

Lemma 3.25. *Let (X, B) be a sub lc pair and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution such that $\text{Exc}(f) \cup \text{Supp } f_*^{-1}B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor on Y . We write $K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \sum a_i A_i$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil) = f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum_{a_i \neq -1} \lceil a_i \rceil A_i)$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil)$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. If (X, B) is lc, then $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$.*

Let D be a Cartier divisor on X and E an effective divisor on Y such that $E \leq \sum_{a_i \neq -1} \lceil a_i \rceil A_i$. Then $\pi_ f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(E + f^* j D) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ if $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil + j \overline{D}) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(j D)$, where $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is a proper morphism onto a variety S .*

Proof. By definition, $\mathbf{A}^*(X, B)_Y = \sum_{a_i \neq -1} a_i A_i$. If $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a proper birational morphism from a normal variety Y' , then

$$\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B)_{Y'} \rceil = g^* \lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B)_Y \rceil + F,$$

where F is a g -exceptional effective divisor, by Lemma 3.26 below. This implies $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B)_Y \rceil) = f'_* \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B)_{Y'} \rceil)$, where $f' = f \circ g$, from which it follows that $\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil) = f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum_{a_i \neq -1} \lceil a_i \rceil A_i)$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. The latter statement is easy to check. $\square$

Lemma 3.26. *Let (X, B) be a sub lc pair and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution as in Lemma 3.25. We consider the $\mathbb{Q}$ -b-divisor $\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) = \mathbf{A}(X, B) - \mathbf{N}(X, B)$. If Y' is a normal variety and $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is a proper birational morphism, then*

$$\lceil \mathbf{A}_{Y'}^* \rceil = g^* \lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil + F,$$

where F is a g -exceptional effective divisor.

Proof. By definition, we have $K_Y = f^*(K_X + B) + \mathbf{A}_Y$. Therefore, we may write,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{Y'} &= g^*f^*(K_X + B) + \mathbf{A}_{Y'} \\ &= g^*(K_Y - \mathbf{A}_Y) + \mathbf{A}_{Y'} \\ &= g^*(K_Y + \{-\mathbf{A}_Y^*\} - \mathbf{N}_Y + \lfloor -\mathbf{A}_Y^* \rfloor) + \mathbf{A}_{Y'} \\ &= g^*(K_Y + \{-\mathbf{A}_Y^*\} - \mathbf{N}_Y) + \mathbf{A}_{Y'} - g^*\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $(Y, \{-\mathbf{A}_Y^*\} - \mathbf{N}_Y)$ is lc and that the set of lc centers of $(Y, \{-\mathbf{A}_Y^*\} - \mathbf{N}_Y)$ coincides with that of $(Y, -\mathbf{A}_Y^* - \mathbf{N}_Y) = (Y, -\mathbf{A}_Y)$. Therefore, the round-up of $\mathbf{A}_{Y'} - g^*\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil - \mathbf{N}_{Y'}$ is effective and g -exceptional. Thus, we can write $\lceil \mathbf{A}_{Y'}^* \rceil = g^*\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil + F$, where F is a g -exceptional effective divisor. $\square$

The next lemma is obvious by Lemma 3.25.

Lemma 3.27. *Let (X, B) be a sub lc pair and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a proper birational morphism from a normal variety Y . We put $K_Y + B_Y = f^*(K_X + B)$. Then $f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil)$.*

4. BASE POINT FREE THEOREM; NEF AND ABUNDANT CASE

We recall the definition of *abundant* divisors, which are called *good* divisors in [K1]. See [KMM, §6-1].

Definition 4.1 (Abundant divisor). Let X be a complete normal variety and D a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier nef divisor on X . We define the *numerical Iitaka dimension* to be

$$\nu(X, D) = \max\{e; D^e \not\equiv 0\}.$$

This means that $D^{e'} \cdot S = 0$ for any e' -dimensional subvarieties S of X with $e' > e$ and there exists an e -dimensional subvariety T of X such that $D^e \cdot T > 0$. Then it is easy to see that $\kappa(X, D) \leq \nu(X, D)$, where $\kappa(X, D)$ denotes *Iitaka's D -dimension*. A nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D is said to be *abundant* if the equality $\kappa(X, D) = \nu(X, D)$ holds. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper surjective morphism of normal varieties and D a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X . Then D is said to be π -abundant if $D|_{X_\eta}$ is abundant, where X_η is the generic fiber of π .

The next theorem is the main theorem of [K1]. For the relative statement, see [N1, Theorem 5]. We will see that we can reduce Theorem 4.2 to Theorem 2.1 by using Ambro's results in [A2] and [A4], which is the main theme of this paper. We note that we do not need the notion of *generalized normal crossing varieties* introduced by Kawamata

in [K1]. Conceptually, our proof grew out from Kawamata's positivity theorem (see [K2]). So, this section is a continuation of [F1].

Theorem 4.2 (cf. [KMM, Theorem 6-1-11]). *Let (X, B) be a klt pair, let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S . Assume the following conditions:*

- (a) H is a π -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X ,
- (b) $H - (K_X + B)$ is π -nef and π -abundant, and
- (c) $\kappa(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) \geq 0$ and $\nu(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) = \nu(X_\eta, (H - (K_X + B))_\eta)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $a > 1$, where η is the generic point of S .

Then H is π -semi-ample.

The original proof of Theorem 4.2 by Kawamata is a very technical generalization of X-method. In [K1], we have to repeat vanishing theorems, non-vanishing theorem, and so on, for generalized normal crossing varieties (cf. [F5, 3.10.2]). There are technical difficulties and a psychological obstacle to treat reducible varieties. We will prove a slight generalization of Theorem 4.2 in this section simultaneously.

Proposition 4.3. *Let (X, B) be a sub klt pair, let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S . Assume the following conditions:*

- (a) H is a π -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X ,
- (b) $H - (K_X + B)$ is π -nef and π -abundant,
- (c) $\kappa(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) \geq 0$ and $\nu(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) = \nu(X_\eta, (H - (K_X + B))_\eta)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $a > 1$, where η is the generic point of S ,
- (d) let $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ be the Iitaka fibration with respect to $H - (K_X + B)$ over S . We assume that there exists a proper birational morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ and put $K_Y + B_Y = \mu^*(K_X + B)$. In this setting, we assume $\text{rank } f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = 1$, and
- (e) (Saturation Condition) there exist positive integers b and j_0 such that bH is Cartier and $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + jb\overline{H}) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jbH)$ for any positive integer $j \geq j_0$.

Then H is π -semi-ample.

We recall the definition of the Iitaka fibrations in this paper.

Definition 4.4 (Iitaka fibration). Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper surjective morphism of normal varieties. Let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor on X such that $\kappa(X_\eta, D_\eta) \geq 0$, where η is the generic point of S . Let $X \dashrightarrow W$ be the rational map over S induced by $\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ for a sufficiently large and divisible integer m . We consider a projective surjective morphism $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ of non-singular varieties

that is birational to $X \dashrightarrow W$. We call $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ the *Iitaka fibration* with respect to D over S .

Remark 4.5. We note that $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \urcorner)$ is a birational invariant for $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ by Lemma 3.15.

Remark 4.6. If (X, B) is klt and bH is Cartier, then it is obvious that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(X, B) \urcorner + j b \overline{H}) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(j b H)$ for any positive integer j (see Remark 3.21).

The following examples help us to understand the condition (d).

Example 4.7. Let $X = E$ be an elliptic curve and $P \in X$ a closed point. Take a general member $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 \in |3P|$. We put $B = \frac{1}{3}(P_1 + P_2 + P_3) - P$. Then (X, B) is sub klt and $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. In this case, $\mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(X, B) \urcorner) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(P)$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(X, B) \urcorner)) \simeq k$.

Example 4.8. Let $f : X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \rightarrow Z = \mathbb{P}^1$ be the Hirzebruch surface and C (resp. E) be the positive (resp. negative) section of f . We take a general member $B_0 \in |5C|$. Note that $|5C|$ is a free linear system on X . We put $B = -\frac{1}{2}E + \frac{1}{2}B_0$ and consider the pair (X, B) . Then (X, B) is sub klt. We put $H = 0$. Then H is a nef Cartier divisor on X and $aH - (K_X + B) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2}F$ for any rational number a , where F is a fiber of f . Therefore, $aH - (K_X + B)$ is nef and abundant for any rational number a . In this case, $\mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(X, B) \urcorner) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(E)$. So, we have $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(X, B) \urcorner + j \overline{H})) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(E)) \simeq k \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(jH))$ for any integer j . Therefore, $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, H , and (X, B) satisfy the conditions (a), (b), (c), and (e) in Theorem 4.3. However, (d) is not satisfied. In our case, it is easy to see that $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is the Iitaka fibration with respect to $H - (K_X + B)$. Since $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(X, B) \urcorner) \simeq f_* \mathcal{O}_X(E)$, we have $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(X, B) \urcorner) = 2$.

Remark 4.9. In Proposition 4.3, the assumptions (a), (b), (c) are the same as in Theorem 4.2. The condition (e) is indispensable by Example 2.3 for sub klt pairs. By using the non-vanishing theorem for generalized normal crossing varieties in [K1, Theorem 5.1], which is the hardest part to prove in [K1], the semi-ampleness of H seems to follow from the conditions (a), (b), (c), and (e). However, we need (d) to apply Ambro's canonical bundle formula to the Iitaka fibration $f : Y \rightarrow Z$. Unfortunately, as we saw in Example 4.8, the condition (d) does not follow from the other assumptions. Anyway, the condition (d) is automatically satisfied if (X, B) is klt (see Lemma 4.10 below). So, there are no troubles to prove Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. If $H - (K_X + B)$ is π -big, then the statements follow from Theorem 2.1 and the original Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem. Thus, from now on, we assume that $H - (K_X + B)$ is not π -big. Then there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \end{array}$$

which satisfies the following conditions (see [KMM, Proposition 6-1-3 and Remark 6-1-4] or [N1, Lemma 6]):

- (i) μ, f and φ are projective morphism,
- (ii) Y and Z are non-singular varieties,
- (iii) μ is a birational morphism and f is a surjective morphism having connected fibers,
- (iv) there exists a φ -nef and φ -big $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor M_0 on Z such that

$$\mu^*(H - (K_X + B)) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*M_0,$$

and

- (v) there is a φ -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D on Z such that

$$\mu^*H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*D.$$

Note that $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is the Iitaka fibration with respect to $H - (K_X + B)$ over S . We put $K_Y + B_Y = \mu^*(K_X + B)$ and $H_Y = \mu^*H$. We note that (Y, B_Y) is not necessarily klt but *sub* klt even when (X, B) is klt. Thus, we have $H_Y - (K_Y + B_Y) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*M_0$ (resp. $H_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*D$), where M_0 (resp. D) is a φ -nef and φ -big (resp. φ -nef) $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor as we saw in (iv) and (v). Furthermore, we can assume that D and H_Y are Cartier divisors by replacing D and H by sufficiently divisible multiples. Of course, we can assume that $b = 1$ in the condition (e). If we need, we modify Y and Z birationally and can assume the following conditions:

- (1) $K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Z + B_Z + M)$, where B_Z is the *discriminant* $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor of (Y, B_Y) on Z and M is the *moduli* $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on Z ,
- (2) (Z, B_Z) is a sub klt pair,
- (3) M is a φ -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on Z ,
- (4) $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Z, B_Z) \rceil + j\overline{D}) \subseteq \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(jD)$ for every positive integer j , and
- (5) $D - (K_Z + B_Z)$ is φ -nef and φ -big.

Indeed, let $P \subset Z$ be a prime divisor. Let a_P be the largest real number t such that $(Y, B_Y + tf^*P)$ is sub lc over the generic point of P . It is obvious that $a_P = 1$ for all but finitely many prime divisors P of Z . We

note that a_P is a positive rational number for any P . The *discriminant $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor* on Z defined by the following formula

$$B_Z = \sum_P (1 - a_P)P.$$

We note that $\lfloor B_Z \rfloor \leq 0$. By the properties (iv) and (v), we can write

$$K_Y + B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(M_1)$$

for a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor M_1 on Z . We define $M = M_1 - (K_Z + B_Z)$ and call it the *moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor* on Z , where B_Z is the discriminant $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor defined above. Note that M is called the *log-semistable part* in [FM, Section 4]. So, the condition (1) obviously holds by the definitions of the discriminant $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor B_Z and the moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor M . If we take birational modifications of Y and Z suitably, we have that M is φ -nef and (Z, B_Z) is sub klt. Thus we obtain (2) and (3). For the details, see [A2, Theorems 0.2 and 2.7] or Section 8. We note the following lemma, which we need to apply [A2, Theorems 0.2 and 2.7] (see (2) in 8.1). We use the assumption (d) when (X, B) is sub klt (see also Example 4.8 and Remark 4.9).

Lemma 4.10. *If (X, B) is klt, then $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = 1$.*

Proof of the lemma. Since $\mathcal{O}_Z \simeq f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \subseteq f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil)$, we know $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, we can shrink S and assume that S is affine. Let A be a φ -very ample divisor such that $f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(A)$ is φ -generated. Since M_0 is a φ -nef and φ -big $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on Z , we have $\mathcal{O}_Z(A) \subset \mathcal{O}_Z(mM_0)$ for a sufficiently large and divisible integer m . We note that $\pi_* \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil + \overline{f^*(mM_0)}) \simeq \pi_* \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(f^*(mM_0))$ (cf. Remark 3.16). It is because $\mu^*(H - (K_X + B)) = H_Y - (K_Y + B_Y) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* M_0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_*(f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(A)) \\ & \subseteq \varphi_*(f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(mM_0)) \\ & \simeq \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(mM_0). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) \leq 1$. We finish the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We know the following lemma by Lemma 9.2.2 and Proposition 9.2.3 in [A4].

Lemma 4.11. *We have $\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Z, B_Z) \rceil + j\overline{D}) \subseteq f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil + j\overline{H_Y})$ for any integer j .*

Pushing forward it by φ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Z, B_Z) \rceil + j\overline{D}) &\subseteq \varphi_* f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil + j\overline{H_Y}) \\
&= \pi_* \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil + j\overline{H_Y}) \\
&\simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil + j\overline{H}) \\
&\subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jH) \\
&\simeq \pi_* \mu_* \mathcal{O}_Y(jH_Y) \\
&= \varphi_* f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(jH_Y) \\
&\simeq \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(jD)
\end{aligned}$$

for any integer j (see Remark 4.6 and (e) in Proposition 4.3). Thus, we have (4). The relation $H_Y - (K_Y + B_Y) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(D - (K_Z + B_Z + M))$ implies that $D - (K_Z + B_Z + M)$ is φ -nef and φ -big. By (3), M is φ -nef. Therefore, $D - (K_Z + B_Z) = D - (K_Z + B_Z + M) + M$ is φ -nef and φ -big. It is the condition (5). Apply Theorem 2.1 to D on (Z, B_Z) . Then we obtain that D is φ -semi-ample. This implies that H is π -semi-ample. We finish the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.12. In Theorem 4.2, we do not know if we can make B_Z effective even when we assume that B is effective. So, our formulation in Theorem 2.1 is indispensable.

4.13 (Corollaries). The following corollaries are obvious by Theorem 4.2.

Corollary 4.14. *Let (X, B) be a klt pair, let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S . Assume that $K_X + B$ is π -nef and π -abundant. Then $K_X + B$ is π -semi-ample.*

Corollary 4.15. *Let X be a complete normal variety such that $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Assume that X has only klt singularities. Let H be a nef and abundant $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X . Then H is semi-ample.*

4.16 (Examples). The following two examples show that the effective version of Theorem 4.2 does not necessarily hold. The first one is an obvious example.

Example 4.17. Let $X = E$ be an elliptic curve and m an arbitrary positive integer. Then there is a Cartier divisor H on X such that $mH \sim 0$ and $lH \not\sim 0$ for $0 < l < m$. Therefore, the effective version of Theorem 4.2 does not necessarily hold.

The next one shows the reason why Theorem 2.4 does not imply the effective version of Theorem 4.2.

Example 4.18. Let E be an elliptic curve and $G = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} = \langle \zeta \rangle$, where ζ is a primitive m -th root of unity. We take an m -torsion point $a \in E$. The cyclic group G acts on $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as follows: $E \times \mathbb{P}^1 \ni (x, [X_0 : X_1]) \mapsto (x + a, [\zeta X_0 : X_1]) \in E \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We put $X = (E \times \mathbb{P}^1)/G$. Then X has a structure of elliptic surface $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. In this setting, $K_X = p^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + \frac{m-1}{m}[0] + \frac{m-1}{m}[\infty])$. We put $H = p^{-1}(0)_{\text{red}}$. Then H is a Cartier divisor on X . It is easy to see that H is nef and $H - K_X$ is nef and abundant. Moreover, $\kappa(X, aH - K_X) = \nu(X, aH - K_X) = 1$ for any rational number $a > 0$. It is obvious that $|mH|$ is free. However, $|lH|$ is not free for $0 < l < m$. Thus, the effective version of Theorem 4.2 does not hold.

5. BASE POINT FREE THEOREM OF REID-FUKUDA TYPE

The following theorem is a reformulation of the main theorem of [F2].

Theorem 5.1 (Base Point Free Theorem of Reid-Fukuda Type). *Let X be a non-singular variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $\text{Supp} B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and (X, B) is sub lc. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S and D a π -nef Cartier divisor on X . Assume the following conditions:*

- (1) $rD - (K_X + B)$ is nef and log big over S for some positive integer r , and
- (2) (Saturation Condition) *there exists a positive integer j_0 such that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B)^\top + j\overline{D} \rceil) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jD)$ for any integer $j \geq j_0$.*

Then mD is π -generated for $m \gg 0$, that is, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for any $m \geq m_0$ the natural homomorphism $\pi^ \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ is surjective.*

Let us recall the definition of nef and log big divisors on sub lc pairs.

Definition 5.2. Let (X, B) be a sub lc pair and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ a proper morphism onto a variety S . Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on X . We say that $\mathcal{L}$ is nef and log big over S if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ is π -nef and π -big and the restriction $\mathcal{L}|_W$ is big over $\pi(W)$ for any lc center W of the pair (X, B) . A $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor H on X is said to be nef and log big over S if and only if so is $\mathcal{O}_X(cH)$, where c is a positive integer such that cH is Cartier.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We write $B = T + B_+ - B_-$ such that T , B_+ , and B_- are effective divisors, they have no common irreducible components, $\lfloor B_+ \rfloor = 0$, and $\lfloor T \rfloor = T$. If $T = 0$, then (X, B) is sub klt. So, theorem

follows from Theorem 2.1. Thus, we assume $T \neq 0$. Let T_0 be an irreducible component of T . If $m \geq r$, then $mD + \lceil B_- \rceil - T_0 - (K_X + B + \lceil B_- \rceil - T_0) = mD - (K_X + B)$ is nef and log big over S for the pair $(X, B + \lceil B_- \rceil - T_0)$. We note that $B + \lceil B_- \rceil - T_0$ is effective. Therefore, $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil B_- \rceil - T_0 + mD) = 0$ for $m \geq r$ by the Kawamata-Viehweg-Norimatsu type vanishing theorem (see Lemma 5.3 below). Thus, we obtain the following commutative diagram for $m \geq \max\{r, j_0\}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil B_- \rceil + mD) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(\lceil B_-|_{T_0} \rceil + mD|_{T_0}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \iota & & \\ \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(mD|_{T_0}). & & \end{array}$$

Here, we used $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \subseteq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil B_- \rceil + mD) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil + m\overline{D}) \subseteq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD)$ for $m \geq j_0$ (see Lemma 3.25). We put $K_{T_0} + B_{T_0} = (K_X + B)|_{T_0}$ and $D_{T_0} = D|_{T_0}$. Then (T_0, B_{T_0}) is sub lc and it is easy to see that $rD_{T_0} - (K_{T_0} + B_{T_0})$ is nef and log big over $\pi(T_0)$. It is obvious that T_0 is non-singular and $\text{Supp} B_{T_0}$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. We note that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(T_0, B_{T_0}) \rceil + j\overline{D_{T_0}}) \simeq \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(jD_{T_0})$ for any $j \geq \max\{r, j_0\}$ follows from the above diagram, that is, the natural inclusion ι is isomorphism for $m \geq \max\{r, j_0\}$. By induction, mD_{T_0} is π -generated for $m \gg 0$. We can apply the same argument to any irreducible component of T . Therefore, the relative base locus of mD is disjoint from T for $m \gg 0$ since the restriction map $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(mD) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{T_0}(mD_{T_0})$ is surjective for any irreducible component T_0 of T . By the same arguments as in [Fk1, Proof of Theorem 3], which is a variant of X-method, work without any changes. So, we obtain that mD is π -generated for $m \gg 0$. $\square$

The following Kawamata-Viehweg-Norimatsu type vanishing theorem was already used in the proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof is an easy exercise by the induction on $\dim X$ and the number of the irreducible components of $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism from a non-singular variety X . Let $\Delta = \sum d_i \Delta_i$ be a sum of distinct prime divisors such that $\text{Supp} \Delta$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and d_i is a rational number with $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ for every i . Let D be a Cartier divisor on X . Assume that $D - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and log big over S for the pair (X, Δ) . Then $R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(D) = 0$ for every $i > 0$.*

As in Theorem 2.4, effective freeness holds under the same assumption as in Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.4 (Effective Freeness). *We use the same notation and assumption as in Theorem 5.1. Then there exists a positive integer l , which depends only on $\dim X$ and $\max\{r, j_0\}$, such that lD is π -generated, that is, $\pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(lD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(lD)$ is surjective.*

Sketch of the proof. If (X, B) is sub klt, then this theorem is nothing but Theorem 2.4. So, we can assume that (X, B) is not sub klt. In this case, the arguments in [Fk1, §4] works with only minor modifications. From now on, we use the notation in [Fk1, §4]. By minor modifications, the proof in [Fk1, §4] works under the following weaker assumptions: X is non-singular and Δ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that $\text{Supp}\Delta$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and (X, Δ) is sub lc. In [Fk1, Claim 5], E_i is f -exceptional. In our setting, it is not true. However, $0 \leq \sum_{cb_i - e_i + p_i < 0} \lceil -(cb_i - e_i + p_i) \rceil E_i \leq \lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, \Delta)_Y \rceil$, which always holds even when Δ is not effective, is sufficient for us. It is because we can use the saturation condition (2) in Theorem 5.1. We leave the details for the readers' exercise since all we have to do is to repeat the arguments in [Ko1, Section 2] and [Fk1, §4]. $\square$

The final statement in this section is the (effective) base point free theorem of Reid-Fukuda type for dlt pairs.

Corollary 5.5. *Let (X, B) be a dlt pair and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ a proper morphism onto a variety S . Let D be a π -nef Cartier divisor on X . Assume that $rD - (K_X + B)$ is nef and log big over S for some positive integer r . Then there exists a positive integer m_0 such that mD is π -generated for any $m \geq m_0$ and we can find a positive integer l , which depends only on $\dim X$ and r , such that lD is π -generated.*

Proof. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution such that $\text{Exc}(f)$ and $\text{Exc}(f) \cup \text{Supp}f_*^{-1}B$ are simple normal crossing divisors, $K_Y + B_Y = f^*(K_X + B)$, and f is an isomorphism over all the generic points of centers of log canonical singularities for the pair (X, B) . Then (Y, B_Y) is sub lc, and $rD_Y - (K_Y + B_Y)$ is nef and log big over S , where $D_Y = f^*D$. Since $\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil$ is effective and exceptional over X , $p_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y) \rceil + j\overline{D_Y}) \simeq p_*\mathcal{O}_Y(jD_Y)$ for any j , where $p = \pi \circ f$. So, we can apply Theorems 5.1 and 5.4 to D_Y and (Y, B_Y) . We finish the proof. $\square$

6. VARIANTS OF BASE POINT FREE THEOREMS DUE TO FUKUDA

The starting point of this section is a slight generalization of Theorem 2.1. It is essentially the same as [Fk1, Theorem 3].

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a non-singular variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that (X, B) is sub lc and $\text{Supp}B$ is a simple normal crossing*

divisor. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S and H a π -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X . Assume the following conditions:

- (1) $H - (K_X + B)$ is nef and big over S , and
- (2) (Saturation Condition) there exist positive integers b and j_0 such that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil + jb\overline{H}) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(jbH)$ for any integer $j \geq j_0$, and
- (3) there is a positive integer c such that cH is Cartier and $\mathcal{O}_T(cH) := \mathcal{O}_X(cH)|_T$ is π -generated, where $T = -\mathbf{N}(X, B)_X$.

Then H is π -semi-ample.

Proof. If (X, B) is sub klt, then this follows from Theorem 2.1. By replacing H by its multiple, we can assume that $b = 1$, $j_0 = 1$, and $c = 1$. Since $lH + \lceil \mathbf{A}_X^* \rceil - T - (K_X + \{B\}) = lH - (K_X + B)$ is nef and big over S for any positive integer l , we have the following commutative diagram by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(lH + \lceil \mathbf{A}_X^* \rceil) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_T(lH) \otimes \mathcal{O}_T(\lceil \mathbf{A}_X^*|_T \rceil)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \iota \\
 \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(lH) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \pi_* \mathcal{O}_T(lH).
 \end{array}$$

Thus, the natural inclusion ι is an isomorphism and α is surjective for any $l \geq 1$. In particular, $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(lH) \neq 0$ for any $l \geq 1$. The same arguments as in [Fk1, Proof of Theorem 3] imply that H is π -semi-ample. $\square$

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 6.2 below, which is a generalization of Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 6.1. The basic strategy of the proof is the same as that of Proposition 4.3. That is, by using Ambro's canonical bundle formula, we reduce it to the case when $H - (K_X + B)$ is nef and big. This is nothing but Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 6.2. *Let X be a non-singular variety and B a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on X such that (X, B) is sub lc and $\text{Supp} B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S . Assume the following conditions:*

- (a) H is a π -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X ,
- (b) $H - (K_X + B)$ is π -nef and π -abundant,
- (c) $\kappa(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) \geq 0$ and $\nu(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) = \nu(X_\eta, (H - (K_X + B))_\eta)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $a > 1$, where η is the generic point of S ,
- (d) let $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ be the Iitaka fibration with respect to $H - (K_X + B)$ over S . We assume that there exists a proper birational

morphism $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ and put $K_Y + B_Y = \mu^*(K_X + B)$. In this setting, we assume $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = 1$,

- (e) (Saturation Condition) there exist positive integers b and j_0 such that bH is Cartier and $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil + j b \overline{H}) \subseteq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(j b H)$ for any positive integer $j \geq j_0$, and
- (f) there is a positive integer c such that cH is Cartier and $\mathcal{O}_T(cH) := \mathcal{O}_X(cH)|_T$ is π -generated, where $T = -\mathbf{N}(X, B)_X$.

Then H is π -semi-ample.

Proof. If $H - (K_X + B)$ is big, then this follows from Theorem 6.1. So, we can assume that $H - (K_X + B)$ is not big. From now on, we use the notation in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. We just explain how to modify that proof. Let us start with the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *We put $T' = -\mathbf{N}(X, B)_Y$. Then $\mu(T') \subset T$. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{T'}(cH_Y) := \mathcal{O}_Y(cH_Y)|_{T'}$ is p -generated, where $p = \pi \circ \mu$.*

Lemma 6.4. *If $f(T') = Z$, then H_Y is p -semi-ample. In particular, H is π -semi-ample.*

Proof. There exists an irreducible component T'_0 of T' such that $f(T'_0) = Z$. Since $(H_Y)|_{T'_0} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (f^*D)|_{T'_0}$ is p -semi-ample, D is φ -semi-ample. This implies that H_Y is p -semi-ample and H is π -semi-ample. $\square$

Therefore, we can assume that T' is not dominant onto Z . Thus $\mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) = \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y)$ over the generic point of Z . Equivalently, (Y, B_Y) is sub klt over the generic point of Z . By applying Ambro's result as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we have the properties (1), (3), (5),

- (2') (Z, B_Z) is sub lc,
- (4') $\varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Z, B_Z) \rceil + j \overline{D}) \subseteq \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(jD)$ for every positive integer j ,
- (6) Y and Z are non-singular and $\text{Supp} B_Y$ and $\text{Supp} B_Z$ are simple normal crossing divisors, and
- (7) $\mathcal{O}_{T''}(D) := \mathcal{O}_Z(D)|_{T''}$ is φ -semi-ample, where $T'' = -\mathbf{N}(Z, B_Z)_Z$.

Once the above conditions were satisfied, D is φ -semi-ample by Theorem 6.1. Therefore, H is π -semi-ample. So, all we have to do is to check the above conditions. The conditions (1), (2'), (3), (5), (6) are satisfied by Ambro's result. We note that $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = \text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = 1$. By the same computation as in [A4, Lemma 9.2.2 and Proposition 9.2.3], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *$\mathcal{O}_Z(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Z, B_Z) \rceil + j \overline{D}) \subseteq f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y) \rceil + j \overline{H_Y})$ for any integer j .*

Thus, we have (4') by the saturation condition (e) (for the details, see the proof of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, and Lemma 3.27). By definition, we have $lH_Y + \lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil - T' - (K_Y + \{B_Y\}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*((l-1)D + M_0)$. Note that $(l-1)D + M_0$ is φ -nef and φ -big for $l \geq 1$. By Kollár's injectivity theorem,

$$p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(lH_Y + \lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil - T') \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(lH_Y + \lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil)$$

is injective for $l \geq 1$. Here, we used the fact that $f(T') \subsetneq Z$. So, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(lH_Y + \lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil) & \longrightarrow & p_*(\mathcal{O}_{T'}(lH_Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T'}(\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^*|_{T'} \rceil)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \iota & & \\ p_* \mathcal{O}_Y(lH_Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & p_* \mathcal{O}_{T'}(lH_Y). & & \end{array}$$

The isomorphism of the left vertical arrow follows from the saturation condition (e). Thus, the natural inclusion ι is an isomorphism and α is surjective for $l \geq 1$. In particular, the relative base locus of lH_Y is disjoint from T' if $\mathcal{O}_{T'}(lH_Y)$ is p -generated. On the other hand, $H_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*D$. Therefore, by Lemma 6.3, $\mathcal{O}_{T''}(D)$ is φ -semi-ample since $T'' \subset f(T')$. So, we obtain the condition (7). We complete the proof of Theorem 6.2. $\square$

Finally, we obtain a slight generalization of Fukuda's result (cf. [Fk2, Proposition 3.3]) as a corollary of Theorem 6.2. Before we go to the corollary, let us recall the definition of *non-klt loci*.

Definition 6.6 (Non-klt locus). Let (X, B) be a sub lc pair. We consider the closed subset

$$\text{Nklt}(X, B) = \{x \in X \mid (X, B) \text{ is not klt at } x\}$$

of X . We call $\text{Nklt}(X, B)$ the *non-klt locus* of (X, B) .

Corollary 6.7. *Let (X, B) be an lc pair and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ a proper morphism onto a variety S . Assume the following conditions:*

- (a) H is a π -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on X ,
- (b) $H - (K_X + B)$ is π -nef and π -abundant,
- (c) $\kappa(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) \geq 0$ and $\nu(X_\eta, (aH - (K_X + B))_\eta) = \nu(X_\eta, (H - (K_X + B))_\eta)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $a > 1$, where η is the generic point of S ,
- (f) there is a positive integer c such that cH is Cartier and $\mathcal{O}_T(cH) := \mathcal{O}_X(cH)|_T$ is π -generated, where $T = \text{Nklt}(X, B)$ is the non-klt locus of (X, B) .

Then H is π -semi-ample.

The readers can find an application of this corollary in [Fk2].

Proof. Let $h : X' \rightarrow X$ be a resolution such that $\text{Exc}(h) \cup \text{Supp} h_*^{-1} B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and $K_{X'} + B_{X'} = h^*(K_X + B)$. Then $H_{X'} = h^*H$, $(X', B_{X'})$, and $\pi' = \pi \circ h : X' \rightarrow S$ satisfy the assumptions (a), (b), and (c) in Theorem 6.2. By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.10, we obtain $\text{rank} f_* \mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y) \rceil) = 1$, where $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is the Iitaka fibration as in (d) in Theorem 6.2. Note that $\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(Y, B_Y) \rceil$ is effective and exceptional over X . Since B is effective, $\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X, B) \rceil$ is effective and exceptional over X , $\pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\lceil \mathbf{A}^*(X', B_{X'}) \rceil + jb\overline{H_{X'}}) \subseteq \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(jbH_{X'})$ for any integer j , where b is a positive integer such that bH is Cartier. So, the saturation condition (e) in Theorem 6.2 is satisfied. Finally, $\mathcal{O}_{T'}(cH_{X'}) := \mathcal{O}_{X'}(cH_{X'})|_{T'}$ is π' -generated, where $T' = -\mathbf{N}(X, B)_{X'}$, by the assumption (f) and the fact that $h(T') \subset T$. So, the condition (f) in Theorem 6.2 for $H_{X'}$ and $(X', B_{X'})$ is satisfied. Therefore, $H_{X'}$ is π' -semi-ample by Theorem 6.2. Of course, H is π -semi-ample. $\square$

Remark 6.8. (i) It is obvious that $\text{Supp}(-\mathbf{N}(X, B)_X) \subseteq \text{Nklt}(X, B)$. However, in general, $\text{Supp}(-\mathbf{N}(X, B)_X) \subsetneq \text{Nklt}(X, B)$. In particular, $\text{Nklt}(X, B)$ is not necessarily of pure codimension one in X .

(ii) If (X, B) is dlt, then $\text{Nklt}(X, B) = \text{Supp}(-\mathbf{N}(X, B)_X) = \lfloor B \rfloor$. Therefore, if (X, B) is dlt, then Corollary 6.7 is nothing but Fukuda's result [Fk2, Proposition 3.3].

7. BASE POINT FREE THEOREMS FOR QUASI KLT PAIRS

In this section, we generalize the Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem and Kawamata's theorem: Theorem 4.2 for *klt pairs* to *quasi klt pairs*. First, we introduce the notion of quasi klt pairs.

Definition 7.1 (Quasi klt pair). Let W be a normal variety. Assume the following conditions:

- (1) there exist a sub klt pair (V, B) and a proper surjective morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ with connected fibers,
- (2) $f_* \mathcal{O}_V(\lceil \mathbf{A}(V, B) \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_W$, and
- (3) there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\mathcal{K}$ on W such that $K_V + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* \mathcal{K}$.

Then the pair $(W, \mathcal{K} - K_W)$ is called a *quasi klt pair*.

Remark 7.2. In Definition 7.1, we assumed that W is normal. However, the normality of W follows from the condition (2) and the normality of V . Note that $\lceil \mathbf{A}(V, B) \rceil$ is effective.

We note that a quasi klt pair is a very special example of Ambro's quasi-log varieties (see [A1, Definition 4.1]).

Remark 7.3. In the definition of quasi klt pairs, if (V, B) is klt, then $f_*\mathcal{O}_V(\lceil \mathbf{A}(V, B) \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_W$ is automatically satisfied. It is because $\lceil \mathbf{A}(V, B) \rceil$ is effective and exceptional over V .

We think that the following well-known question is still open. If it holds true, then Theorem 7.10 is obvious by Kawamata's theorem: Theorem 4.2.

Question 7.4. Does there exist an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor Δ_W on W such that $\mathcal{K} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_W + \Delta_W$ and the pair (W, Δ_W) is klt?

7.5 (Examples). We collect basic examples of quasi klt pairs.

Example 7.6. A klt pair is a quasi klt pair.

Example 7.7. Let $f : X \rightarrow W$ be a Mori fiber space. Then we can find a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\mathcal{K}$ on W such that $(W, \mathcal{K} - K_W)$ is a quasi klt pair. It is because we can find an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor B on X such that $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} 0$ and (X, B) is klt.

Proposition 7.8. *An exceptional lc center W of a log canonical pair (X, B) is a quasi klt pair for some $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\mathcal{K}$ on W .*

Proof. Let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution such that $\text{Exc}(g) \cup g_*^{-1}B$ has a simple normal crossing support. We put $K_Y + B_Y = g^*(K_X + B)$. Then $-B_Y = \mathbf{A}(X, B)_Y = \mathbf{A}_Y = \mathbf{A}_Y^* + \mathbf{N}_Y$, where $\mathbf{N}_Y = -\sum_{i=0}^k E_i$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f(E) = W$ and $E = E_0$. By shrinking X around W , we can assume that $\mathbf{N}_Y = -E$. Note that $R^1g_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil - E) = 0$ by the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem since $\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil - E = K_Y + \{-\mathbf{A}_Y^*\} - g^*(K_X + B)$. Therefore, $g_*\mathcal{O}_Y(\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^* \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow g_*\mathcal{O}_E(\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^*|_E \rceil)$ is surjective. This implies that $g_*\mathcal{O}_E(\lceil \mathbf{A}_Y^*|_E \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_W$. In particular, W is normal. If we put $K_E + B_E = (K_Y + B_Y)|_E$, then (E, B_E) is sub klt and $\mathbf{A}_Y^*|_E = \mathbf{A}(E, B_E)_E = -B_E$. So, $g_*\mathcal{O}_E(\lceil \mathbf{A}(E, B_E) \rceil) = g_*\mathcal{O}_E(\lceil -B_E \rceil) \simeq \mathcal{O}_W$. Since $K_E + B_E = (K_Y + B_Y)|_E$ and $K_Y + B_Y = g^*(K_X + B)$, we can find a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\mathcal{K}$ on W such that $K_E + B_E \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*\mathcal{K}$. Therefore, W is a quasi klt pair. $\square$

The following theorem is the Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem for quasi klt pairs. We give a simple proof depending on Kawamata's positivity theorem. Theorem 7.9 seems to be contained in [A1, Theorem 7.2].

Theorem 7.9. *Let $(W, \mathcal{K} - K_W)$ be a quasi klt pair, let $\pi : W \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S and D a π -nef Cartier divisor on W . Assume that $rD - \mathcal{K}$ is π -nef and π -big for some positive integer r . Then mD is π -generated for $m \gg 0$, that is, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for any $m \geq m_0$ the natural homomorphism $\pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{O}_W(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W(mD)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that S is affine. By Kawamata (see [K2, Theorem 1] or [F1, Theorem 1.2]), we have

$$\mathcal{K} + \varepsilon(rD - \mathcal{K}) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_W + \Delta_W$$

such that (W, Δ_W) is klt for some rational number $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ (for the details, see, for example, [Ko2, Theorem 8.6.1]). Then $rD - (K_W + \Delta_W) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (1 - \varepsilon)(rD - \mathcal{K})$, which is π -nef and π -big. Therefore, mD is π -generated for $m \gg 0$ by the usual Kawamata-Shokurov base point free theorem. $\square$

The next theorem is the main theorem of this section. It is a generalization of Kawamata's theorem in [K1] for quasi klt pairs.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $(W, \mathcal{K} - K_W)$ be a quasi klt pair and $\pi : W \rightarrow S$ a proper morphism onto a variety S . Assume the following conditions:*

- (i) H is a π -nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor on W ,
- (ii) $H - \mathcal{K}$ is π -nef and π -abundant, and
- (iii) $\kappa(W_\eta, (aH - \mathcal{K})_\eta) \geq 0$ and $\nu(W, (aH - \mathcal{K})_\eta) = \nu(W_\eta, (H - \mathcal{K})_\eta)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $a > 1$, where η is the generic point of S .

Then H is π -semi-ample.

Proof. By the definition of quasi klt pairs, there exists a proper surjective morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ from a sub klt pair (V, B) . Without loss of generality, we can assume that V is non-singular and $\text{Supp} B$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. By the definition, $f_* \mathcal{O}_V(\Gamma - B^\top) \simeq \mathcal{O}_W$. From now on, we assume that H is Cartier by replacing it with its multiple. Then $f_* \mathcal{O}_V(\Gamma - B^\top + jH_V) \simeq \mathcal{O}_W(jH)$ by the projection formula for any integer j , where $H_V = f^*H$. By pushing it by π , we have $p_* \mathcal{O}_V(\Gamma \mathbf{A}(V, B)^\top + j\overline{H_V}) = p_* \mathcal{O}_V(\Gamma - B^\top + jH_V) \simeq \pi_* \mathcal{O}_W(jH) \simeq p_* \mathcal{O}_V(jH_V)$ for any integer j , where $p = \pi \circ f$. This is nothing but the saturation condition: Assumption (e) in Proposition 4.3. We put $L = H - \mathcal{K}$. We consider the Iitaka fibration with respect to L over S as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. Then we obtain

the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V & \xlongequal{\quad} & V \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 W & \xleftarrow{\mu} & U \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\
 S & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & Z
 \end{array}$$

where $g : U \rightarrow Z$ is the Iitaka fibration and $\mu : U \rightarrow W$ is a birational morphism. Note that we can assume that $f : V \rightarrow W$ factors through U by blowing up V .

Lemma 7.11. $\text{rank} h_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner) = 1$, where $h : V \rightarrow U \rightarrow Z$.

Proof of the lemma. This proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 4.10. First, we can assume that S is affine. Let A be an ample divisor on Z such that $h_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(A)$ is φ -generated. We note that we can assume that $\mu^* L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^* M$ since L is π -nef and π -abundant, where M is a φ -nef and φ -big $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on Z . If we choose a large and divisible integer m , then $\mathcal{O}_Z(A) \subset \mathcal{O}_Z(mM)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varphi_*(h_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(A)) \\
 \subseteq & \varphi_*(h_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(mM)) \\
 \simeq & p_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner + m \overline{f^* L}) \\
 \simeq & \pi_* \mathcal{O}_W(mL) \\
 \simeq & \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_Z(mM).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\text{rank} h_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner) \leq 1$. Since $\mathcal{O}_Z \subset h_* \mathcal{O}_V \subset h_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner)$, we obtain $\text{rank} h_* \mathcal{O}_V(\ulcorner \mathbf{A}(V, B) \urcorner) = 1$ $\square$

Note that $h : V \rightarrow Z$ is the Iitaka fibration with respect to $f^* L$ over S . The assumption (c) in Proposition 4.3 easily follows from (iii). Thus, by Proposition 4.3, we have that H_V is p -semi-ample. Equivalently, H is π -semi-ample. $\square$

8. APPENDIX: QUICK REVIEW OF AMBRO'S FORMULA

In this appendix, we quickly review Ambro's canonical bundle formula. For the details, see the original paper [A2] or Kollár's survey article [Ko2].

8.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper surjective morphism of normal varieties. Let $p : Y \rightarrow S$ be a proper morphism onto a variety S . Assume the following conditions:

- (1) (X, B) is sub klt over the generic point of Y ,
- (2) $\text{rank } f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil \mathbf{A}(X, B) \rceil) = 1$, and
- (3) $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} 0$.

By (3), we can write $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^* D$ for some $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D on Y . Let B_Y be the *discriminant $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor* on Y . We put $M_Y = D - (K_Y + B_Y)$ and call M_Y the *moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor* on Y . Then we have $K_X + B \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Y + B_Y + M_Y)$. In [FM, Section 4], M_Y is called the *log-semistable part*. Let us recall the definition of B_Y quickly. Let $P \subset Y$ be a prime divisor. Let a_P be the largest real number t such that $(X, B + t f^* P)$ is sub lc over the generic point of P . Note that P is Cartier around the generic point of P . It is obvious that $a_P = 1$ for all but finitely many prime divisors P of Y . We note that a_P is a positive rational number for any P . Then we put $B_Y = \sum_{P \subset Y} (1 - a_P) P$.

Let $\sigma : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism from a normal variety Y' . Then we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{\mu} & X' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ Y & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & Y' \end{array}$$

such that

- (i) μ is birational morphism from a normal variety X' ,
- (ii) we put $K_{X'} + B' = \mu^*(K_X + B)$. Then we can write $K_{X'} + B' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f'^*(K_{Y'} + B_{Y'} + M_{Y'})$, where $B_{Y'}$ is the discriminant $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on Y' associated to $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$.

Ambro's theorem [A2, Theorems 0.2 and 2.7] says

- (a) $K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and $\nu^*(K_{Y'} + B_{Y'}) = K_{Y''} + B_{Y''}$, and
- (b) the moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $M_{Y'}$ is nef over S and $\nu^*(M_{Y'}) = M_{Y''}$

for every proper birational morphism $\nu : Y'' \rightarrow Y'$ from a normal variety Y'' if we choose Y' appropriately. We note that the nefness of the moduli $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor follows from Fujita-Kawamata's semi-positivity theorem. It is a consequence of the theory of variation of Hodge structures. For the details, see, for example, [M, Section 5], [F4, Section 5], or [Ko2].

REFERENCES

- [A1] F. Ambro, Quasi-log varieties, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **240** (2003), Birat-sion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebry, 220–239; trans-lation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2003, no. 1 (240), 214–233
- [A2] F. Ambro, Shokurov's boundary property, J. Differential Geom. **67**, no. 2 (2004), 229–255.

- [A3] F. Ambro, A semiample criterion, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **12** (2005), no. 3, 445–466.
- [A4] F. Ambro, Non-klt techniques, to be contained in *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds* (Alessio Corti, ed.), Oxford University Press, 200?.
- [A5] F. Ambro, Basic properties of log canonical centers, preprint, 2006, math.AG/0611205.
- [C] A. Corti, 3-fold flips after Shokurov, to be contained in *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds* (Alessio Corti, ed.), Oxford University Press, 200?.
- [F1] O. Fujino, Applications of Kawamata’s positivity theorem, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **75** (1999), no. 6, 75–79.
- [F2] O. Fujino, Base point free theorem of Reid-Fukuda type, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **7** (2000), no. 1, 1–5.
- [F3] O. Fujino, A canonical bundle formula for certain algebraic fiber spaces and its applications, *Nagoya Math. J.* **172** (2003), 129–171.
- [F4] O. Fujino, Remarks on algebraic fiber spaces, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **45** (2005), no. 4, 683–699.
- [F5] O. Fujino, What is log terminal?, to be contained in *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds* (Alessio Corti, ed.), Oxford University Press, 200?.
- [FM] O. Fujino, and S. Mori, A canonical bundle formula, *J. Differential Geom.* **56** (2000), no. 1, 167–188.
- [Fk1] S. Fukuda, On base point free theorem, *Kodai Math. J.* **19** (1996), no. 2, 191–199.
- [Fk2] S. Fukuda, On numerically effective log canonical divisors, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **30** (2002), no. 9, 521–531.
- [I] V. A. Iskovskikh, b -divisors and functional algebras according to Shokurov, (Russian) *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **240** (2003), Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebr, 8–20; translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 2003, no. 1 (240), 4–15
- [K1] Y. Kawamata, Pluricanonical systems on minimal algebraic varieties, *Invent. Math.* **79** (1985), no. 3, 567–588.
- [K2] Y. Kawamata, Subadjunction of log canonical divisors. II, *Amer. J. Math.* **120** (1998), no. 5, 893–899.
- [KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, and K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, 283–360, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [Ko1] J. Kollár, Effective base point freeness, *Math. Ann.* **296** (1993), no. 4, 595–605.
- [Ko2] J. Kollár, Kodaira’s canonical bundle formula and adjunction, to be contained in *Flips for 3-folds and 4-folds* (Alessio Corti, ed.), Oxford University Press, 200?.
- [Kv] S. Kovács, A characterization of rational singularities, *Duke Math. J.* **102** (2000), no. 2, 187–191.
- [L] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*, **49**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xviii+385 pp.

- [M] S. Mori, Classification of higher-dimensional varieties, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 269–331, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **46**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [N1] N. Nakayama, Invariance of the plurigenera of algebraic varieties under minimal model conjectures, Topology **25** (1986), no. 2, 237–251.
- [N2] N. Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance* MSJ Memoirs, **14**. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2004. xiv+277 pp.
- [S] V. V. Shokurov, Prelimiting flips, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **240** (2003), Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebry, 82–219; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2003, no. 1 (240), 75–213

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSA-KU
NAGOYA 464-8602 JAPAN

E-mail address: fujino@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp